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The next time that empire comes calling in the name of human rights, please be found standing idly by

Maximilian C. Forte’s new book Slouching Towards Sirte: NATO’s War on Libya and Africa (released November 20) is a searing indictment of NATO’s 2011 military intervention in Libya, and of the North American and European left that supported it. He argues that NATO powers, with the help of the Western left who “played a supporting role by making substantial room for the dominant U.S. narrative and its military policies,” marshalled support for their intervention by creating a fiction that Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi was about to carry out a massacre against a popular, pro-democracy uprising, and that the world could not stand idly by and watch a genocide unfold.

Forte takes this view apart, showing that a massacre was never in the cards, much less genocide. Gaddafi didn’t threaten to hunt down civilians, only those who had taken up armed insurrection—and he offered rebels amnesty if they laid down their arms. What’s more, Gaddafi didn’t have the military firepower to lay siege to Benghazi (site of the initial uprising) and hunt down civilians from house to house. Nor did his forces carry out massacres in the towns they recaptured…something that cannot be said for the rebels.

Citing mainstream media reports that CIA and British SAS operatives were already on the ground “either before or at the very same time as (British prime minister David) Cameron and (then French president Nicolas) Sarkozy began to call for military intervention in Libya”, Forte raises “the possibility that Western powers were at least waiting for the first opportunity to intervene in Libya to commit regime change under the cover of a local uprising.” And he adds, they were doing so “without any hesitation to ponder what if any real threats to civilians might have been.” Gaddafi, a fierce opponent of fundamentalist Wahhabist/Salafist Islam “faced several armed uprisings and coup attempts before—and in the West there was no public clamor for his head when he crushed them.” (The same, too, can be said of the numerous uprisings and assassination attempts carried out by the Syrian Muslim Brothers against the Assads, all of which were crushed without raising much of an outcry in the West, until now.)

Rejecting a single factor explanation that NATO intervened to secure access to Libyan oil, Forte presents a multi-factorial account, which invokes elements of the hunt for profits, economic competition with China and Russia, and establishing US hegemony in Africa. Among the gains of the intervention, writes Forte, were:

1) increased access for U.S. corporations to massive Libyan expenditures on infrastructure development (and now reconstruction), from which U.S. corporations had frequently been locked out when Gaddafi was in power; 2) warding off any increased acquisition of Libyan oil contracts by Chinese and Russian firms; 3) ensuring that a friendly regime was in place that was not influenced by ideas of “resource nationalism;” 4) increasing the presence of AFRICOM in African affairs, in an attempt to substitute for the African Union and to entirely displace the Libyan-led Community of Sahel-Saharan States (CEN-SAD); 5) expanding the U.S. hold on key geostrategic locations and resources; 6) promoting U.S. claims to be serious about freedom, democracy, and human rights, and of being on the side of the people of Africa, as a benign benefactor; 7) politically stabilizing the North African region in a way that locked out opponents of the U.S.; and, 8) drafting other nations to undertake the work of defending and advancing U.S. political and economic interests, under the guise of humanitarianism and protecting civilians.
Forte challenges the view that Gaddafi was in bed with the West as a "strange view of romance." It might be more aptly said, he counters, that the United States was in bed with Libya on the fight against Al Qaeda and Islamic terrorists, since "Libya led by Gaddafi (had) fought against Al Qaeda years before it became public enemy number one in the U.S."

Moreover, the bed in which Libya and the United States found themselves was hardly a comfortable one. Gaddafi complained bitterly to US officials that the benefits he was promised for ending Libya's WMD program and capitulating on the Lockerbie prosecution were not forthcoming. And the US State Department and US corporations, for their part, complained bitterly of Gaddafi's "resource nationalism" and attempts to "Libyanize" the economy. One of the lessons the NATO intervention has taught is that countries that want to maintain some measure of independence from Washington are well advised not to surrender the threat of self-defense.

Forte, to use his own words, gives the devil his due, noting that:

Gaddafi was a remarkable and unique exception among the whole range of modern Arab leaders, for being doggedly altruistic, for funding development programs in dozens of needy nations, for supporting national liberation struggles that had nothing to do with Islam or the Arab world, for pursuing an ideology that was original and not simply the product of received tradition or mimesis of exogenous sources, and for making Libya a presence on the world stage in a way that was completely out of proportion with its population size.

He points out as well that "Libya had reaped international isolation for the sake of supporting the Irish Republican Army (IRA), the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO), and the African National Congress (ANC)," which, once each of these organizations had made their own separate peace, left Libya behind continuing to fight.

Forte invokes Sirte in the title of his book to expose the lie that NATO's intervention was motivated by humanitarianism and saving lives. "Sirte, once promoted by Colonel Muammar Gaddafi as a possible capital of a future United States of Africa, and one of the strongest bases of support for the revolution he led, was found to be in near total ruin by visiting journalists who came after the end of the bombing campaign by members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). " This, observes Forte, "is what 'protecting civilians' actually looks like, and it looks like crimes against humanity." "The only lives the U.S. was interested in saving," he argues "were those of the insurgents, saving them so they could defeat Gaddafi." And yet "the slaughter in Sirte...barely raised an eyebrow among the kinds of Western audiences and opinion leaders who just a few months before clamored for 'humanitarian intervention.'"

Among those who clamored for humanitarian intervention were members of the "North American and European left—reconditioned, accommodating, and fearful—who played a supporting role by making substantial room for the dominant U.S. narrative and its military policies." Forte doesn't name names, except for a reference to Noam Chomsky, whom he criticizes for "poor judgment and flawed analyses" for supporting "the no-fly zone intervention and the rebellion as 'wonderful' and 'liberation'."

Forte also aims a stinging rebuke at those who treated anti-imperialism as a bad word. "Throughout this debacle, anti-imperialism has been scourged as if it were a threat greater than the West's global military domination, as if anti-imperialism had given us any of the horrors of war witnessed thus far this century. Anti-imperialism was treated in public debate in North America as the province of political lepers." This calls to mind opprobrious leftist figures who discovered a fondness for the military domination, as if anti-imperialism had given us any of the horrors of war witnessed thus far this century. Anti-imperialism was treated in public debate in North America as the province of political lepers."

Forte challenges the view of humanity. "NATO’s intervention did not stop armed conflict in Libya," observes Forte—it continues to the present. "Massacres were not prevented, they were enabled, and many occurred after NATO intervened and because NATO intervened." It is for these reasons he urges readers to stand idly by the next time that empire comes calling in the name of human rights.

Slouching Towards Sirte is a penetrating critique, not only of the NATO intervention in Libya, but of the concept of humanitarian intervention and imperialism in our time. It is the definitive treatment of NATO’s war on Libya. It is difficult to imagine it will be surpassed.

NATO Defies Africa By Intensifying Bombardment Of Libya
Africa demands fall on deaf ears amid NATO bombardment on Libya
-In essence, the leaders’ demand for a ceasefire is of no consequence as the western powers have so far simply ignored it, in the same way the French helped remove former Côte d’Ivoire president Laurent Gbagbo, despite African resistance to foreign military intervention. …

Unconfirmed and Contradictory Report: NATO Air Strike Killed Gaddafi: NTC
NATO launches air raids in Sirte on Thursday: spokesman
BRUSSELS: NATO aircraft struck two military vehicles on Thursday as they fled Gaddafi’s hometown of Sirte, a NATO spokesman said.
“At approximately 0830 local time (GMT0630) today, NATO aircraft struck two pro-Gaddafi forces military vehicles which were part of a larger group maneuvering in the vicinity of Sirte,” said Colonel Roland Lavoie, spokesman for NATO’s Libyan mission. …

Over 2,000 killed in NATO airstrikes on Gaddafi’s hometown
More than 2,000 people have been killed in 17 days in Libya’s Sirte, the hometown of the country’s fugitive leader, Reuters cites Muammar Gaddafi’s spokesman as saying on Saturday.
Meanwhile, NATO planes are continuing to attack Libyan military targets in one of the last strongholds of Gaddafi loyalists. …

NATO’s Deceitful Libya War of Aggression: Its Meaning for Africa
Since last week, Western leaders, NATO — and their friends in the puppet propaganda press, sometimes referred to as “mainstream” media—have been celebrating the usurpation of Libya into the hands of the armed insurrectionist “rebels.”
But how will history judge the West’s imperial interference, in Libya, and what does this awful episode portend for other African countries? …

NATO Seeks the Disintegration of Libya
Senior Politician: NATO Seeks to Disintegrate Libya TEHRAN: A senior Libyan politician warned of NATO’s suspicious moves in his country, saying that the West is seeking to prolong the war in Libya in a bid to disintegrate the North African country to plunder its rich oil resources. …
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